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COMPRESSIBILITY AND VIRIAL COEFFICIENTS OF METHANE-ARGON
MIXTURES
E. S. Barkan, L. R. Linshits,

UDC 536.7
I. B. Rodkina, and N. G. Tyurikova

Using the Barnett method we have measured the compressibility of methane-argon mixtures at temperatures of 373.15 and $423.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and pressures up to 30 MPa . The methane concentrations in the mixtures were varied from 8 to $82 \mathrm{~mole} \%$. We calculated the second, third, and second mixed virial coefficients.

The present article is a continuation of systematic studies of the bulk behavior of gases and gas mixtures by the Barnett method [1-4]. We measured the compressibility of methane-argon mixtures, and calculated the second and third virial coefficients of the mixtures. The values obtained for the second virial coefficients were used to calculate the second mixed virial coefficient $B_{12}$, which furnishes important information on the nature of the intermolecular interaction of dissimilar molecules.

The apparatus and measurement procedure were described earlier [1, 2]. The pressure was measured with MP-600 and MP-60 piston manometers of grade 0.05 accuracy, and the temperature was measured to within $0.01^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by a calibrated Beckmann thermometer. The temperature was maintained constant to within $0.005-0.01^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

We used helium, methane, and argon with a minimum purity of $99.97 \%$ in the experiments. The mixtures were prepared in a tilting mixer provided with an electric heater. Mixing was

[^0]TABLE 1. Compressibility Factor of Argon-Methane Mixture at $373.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K} . \mathrm{P}$ is in MPa

| Methane content, mole \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8,35 |  | 20,05 |  | 34,85 |  | 41.94 |  | 55,57 |  | 75,97 |  | 81,91 |  |
| P | $z$ | $P$ | $z$ | P | $z$ | P | $z$ | $P$ | 2 | $P$ | 2 | $P$ | $z$ |
| 31,203 | 1,05641 |  |  |  |  | 30,251 | 1,04093 | 30,901 | 1,03744 |  |  | 29,443 | 1,01126 |
| 22,851 | 1,02052 | 23,632 | 1,01916 | 24,516 | 1,01641 | 22,109 | 1,00353 | 22,497 | 1,99632 | 25,224 | 0,99318 | 21,444 | 0,97155 |
| 17,042 | 1,00396 | 17,590 | 1,00066 | 18,211 | 0,99594 | 16,510 | 0,98853 | 16,786 | 0,98062 | 18,673 | 0,97012 | 16,054 | 0,95945 |
| 12,824 | 0,99655 | 13,236 | 0,99325 | 13,697 | 0,98811 | 12,454 | 0,98363 | 12,675 | 0,97674 | 14,072 | 0,96412 | 12,163 | 0,95887 |
| 9,699 | 0,99422 | 10,011 | 0,99095 | 10,365 | 0,98634 | 9,439 | 0,98339 | 9,616 | 0,97750 | 10,683 | 0,96549 | 9,265 | 0,96348 |
| 7,348 | 0,99358 | 7,589 | 0,99093 | 7,870 | 0,98786 | 7,176 | 0,98615 | 7,321 | 0,98162 | 8,139 | 0,97029 | 7,651 | 0,96916 |
| 5,5764 | 0,99464 | 5,7642 | 0,99389 | 5,9758 | 0,98049 | 5,4533 | 0,98859 | 5,5685 | 0,98493 | 6,2077 | 0,97620 | 5,3912 | 0,97553 |
| 4,2311 | 0,99550 | 4,3756 | 0,99415 | 4,5394 | 0,99150 | 4,1338 | 0,99091 | 4,2363 | 0,98840 | 4,7300 | 0,98118 | 4,1087 | 0,98070 |
| 3,2103 | 0,99135 | 3,3214 | 0,99544 | 3,4479 | 0,99341 | 3,1486 | 0,99319 | 3,2212 | 0,99138 | 3,6020 | 0,98562 | 3,1289 | 0.98515 |
| 2,4355 | 0,99709 | 2,5213 | 0,99677 | 2,6181 | 0,99503 | 2,3907 | 0,99476 | 2,4482 | 0,99307 | 2,7406 | 0,98921 | 2,3803 | 0,98860 |
| 1,8473 | 0,99789 | 1,9131 | 0,99765 | 1,9871 | 0,99620 | 1,8153 1,3777 |  | 1,8594 1,4116 | 0,99468 0,99594 | 2,0840 1,5834 | 0,99151 0,99350 | 1,8094 | 0,99129 |
| 1,4015 | 0,99841 | 1,4514 | 0,99807 | 1,5081 | 0,99660 | 1,3777 | 0,99687 | 1,4116 | 0,99594 | 1,5834 | 0,99350 | 1,3752 | 0,99332 |


| Methane content, mole \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.90 |  | 15,15 |  | 27,62 |  | 54,98 |  | 65,10 |  | 84,54 |  |
| P | 2 | $\boldsymbol{P}$ | 2 | $\boldsymbol{P}$ | $z$ | $P$ | $z$ | $P$ | $z$ | P | $z$ |
| 30,963 | 1,07584 | 28,160 | 1,06416 | 31,544 | 1,07635 | 31,056 | 1,06851 | 30,622 | 1,06092 | 31,235 | 1,05697 |
| 22,778 | 1,04383 | 20,789 | 1,03613 | 23,104 | 1,03976 | 22,713 | 1,03067 | 22,401 | 1,02359 | 22,764 | 1,01597 |
| 16,965 | 1,02536 | 15,525 | 1,02052 | 17,198 | 1,02078 | 16,913 | 1,01221 | 16,690 | 1,00582 | 16,950 | 0,99772 |
| 12,737 | 1,01531 | 11,673 | 1,01199 | 12,809 | 1,01054 | 12,709 | 1,00316 | 12,561 | 0,99838 | 12,760 | 0,98059 |
| 9,600 | 1,00927 | 8,808 | 1,0712 | 9,739 | 1,00550 | 9,600 | 0,99936 0,99905 | 9,495 7,1998 | 0,99534 | 9,661 | 0,98918 |
| 7,2564 | 1,00615 | 6,6673 | 1,00544 | 7,3640 | 1,00273 | 7,2764 5,5140 | 0,99905 0,99849 | 7,1998 5,4618 | 0,99541 0,99592 | 7,3290 5,5666 | 0,98970 0,99142 |
| 5,4946 | 1,00481 | 5,0447 3,8029 | 1,00339 1,00232 | 5,5786 4,2262 | 1,00185 1,00100 | 5,5140 4,1824 | 0,99849 0,99887 | 5,4618 4,1440 | 0,99592 0,99659 | 5,5666 4,2269 | 0,99142 0,99287 |
| 4,1574 3,1497 | 1,00271 1,00193 | 3,8029 2,1951 | 1,00232 1,00161 | 4,2262 3,2034 | 1,00100 1,00069 | 4,1824 3,1720 | 0,99887 0,99895 | 4,1440 3,1445 | 0,99659 0,99736 | 4,2269 3,2091 | 0,99287 0,99423 |
| 3,1497 2,3869 | 1,00193 1,00139 | 2,1951 2,1940 | 1,00161 | 3,2034 | 1,00069 1,00045 | 3,1720 2,4050 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,99895 \\ & 0,99913 \end{aligned}$ | 3,1445 2,3853 | 0,99736 0,99779 | 3,2091 2,4362 | 0,99423 0,99542 |
| 2,3869 1,8091 | 1,00139 1,00101 | 2,1940 1,6630 | 1,00113 | 2,4283 1,8409 | 1,00045 1,00030 | 2,4050 1,8239 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,99913 \\ & 0,99929 \end{aligned}$ | 2,3853 1,8094 | 0,99779 0,99825 | 2,4362 1,8489 | 0,99641 |

TABLE 3. Second, Third, and Second Mixed Virial Coefficients for an Argon-Methane Mixture at $373.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$

| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ content, <br> mole $\%$ | $B, \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}$ | $c, \mathrm{~cm}^{6} / \mathrm{mole}^{2}$ | $B_{12}, \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8,35 | $-4,1$ | 635 | $-4,7$ |
| 20,05 | $-4,7$ | 462 | $-4,7$ |
| 34,85 | $-6,6$ | 580 | $-5,3$ |
| 42,91 | $-7,7$ | 751 | $-5,5$ |
| 55,57 | $-9,6$ | 808 | $-4,8$ |
| 75,97 | $-13,7$ | 1039 | $-3,9$ |
| 81,91 | $-15,8$ | 1120 | $-4,6$ |

TABLE 4. Second, Third, and Second Mixed Virial Coefficients for an Argon-Methane Mixture at $423.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$

| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ content, mole $\%$ | B, $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}$ | $c, \mathrm{~cm}^{6} / \mathrm{mole}^{2}$ | $B_{12}, \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7,90 | 1,7 | 510 | 4,7 |
| 15,15 | 1,4 | 690 | 3,1 |
| 27,62 | 0,3 | 486 | 1,4 |
| 58,98 | -1,6 | 519 | 3,2 |
| 65,10 | $-3,8$ | 756 | 2,1 |
| 84,54 | $-7,5$ | 1336 | 2,4 |

continued until analyses of the gas composition in the upper and lower positions of the mixer agreed. The composition of the gas was determined to within $0.1 \%$ from themolecular weight of the mixtures.

The measured values were used to calculate the compressibility factors and the virial coefficients by the analytic method proposed in [5]. In this method the experimental data are described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r} Q_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i} Q_{r}^{i-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{r}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} N_{j} ; A_{i}=B_{i} \rho_{0} R T ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the pressure at the r -th stage, T is the temperature, $\rho_{0}$
is the initial density, $R$ is the gas constant, $N_{j}$ is the instrument constant for the $j-t h$ expansion, and the Bi are the virial coefficients in the series in terms of the density. The value of $N_{j}$ depends on the barometric deformation of the piezometer, and is related to the value of $N_{\infty}$ at zero pressure by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j}=N_{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} P_{j}^{i-1} / b_{i} P_{j-1}^{i-1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $b_{1}$ are determined by the mechanical properties of the piezometer material [6].

The values and the number of virial coefficients necessary for a given series of measurements were determined from an analysis of the sum of the squares of the deviations at each point and the standard errors of the virial coefficients [7].

Tables 1 and 2 list the values of the compressibility factor for all the mixtures investigated. The average error of the values obtained is $0.05 \%$, and the maximum does not exceed $0.08 \%$. The reproducibility of the results was tested by repeating all the measurements for a number of mixtures. The differences between the data of the two independent experiments did not exceed $0.02 \%$.

The value of $\mathrm{N}_{\infty}$ was determined to within $0.005 \%$ from experiments with pure helium at each temperature. The calculated values of the compressibility factor differ from the values reported in a recent paper [8] on the measurement of the compressibility of helium by 0.01-0.02\%.

Tables 3 and 4 list the values of the second, third, and second mixed virial coefficients for each mixture. The value of $B_{12}$ was found from the equation

TABLE 5. Comparison of Values of Second Mixed Virial Coefficient of a Methane-Argon M1xture ${ }^{\text {兜at }} 373.15$ and $423.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ According to Data from Various References

| T, K | $\begin{gathered} B_{12} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{8} / \mathrm{mole} \end{gathered}$ | Reference | $T, \mathrm{~K}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{\mathrm{j} / B_{12}} \mathrm{~mole}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 373,15 | $\begin{gathered} -4,8 \pm 0,4 \\ -13,1 \end{gathered}$ | Present work $\left[\begin{array}{l} 13 \\ {[14]} \end{array}\right.$ | 423, 15 | $\begin{gathered} 2,8 \pm 0,7 \\ -1,0 \\ -5,4 \end{gathered}$ | Present work $\left[\begin{array}{ll} {[13]} \\ {[14]} \end{array}\right.$ |

Note. The values of $B_{12}$ from [13, 14] were obtained by interpolation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mathrm{mix}}=B_{11} N_{1}+2 B_{12} N_{1} N_{2}+B_{22} N_{2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{B}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{22}$ are the second virial coefficients of the pure gases, and $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ are the mole fractions of the components. The values $\mathrm{B}_{12}=-4.01,1.19 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ mole for argon, and $\mathrm{B}_{22}=$ $-20.91,-11.24 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ mole for methane at 373.15 and $423.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, respectively, were taken from [9-12].

The errors of the values obtained are $0.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ mole for $\mathrm{B}, 3 \%$ for C , and $0.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}$ for $\mathrm{B}_{12}$. The final values of $\mathrm{B}_{12}$, obtained by processing the data for all the mixtures by the method of least squares using Eq. (3), are listed in Table 5.

Since we do not know of any data in the literature on the compressibility of methaneargon mixtures at the temperatures of our measurements, we cannot compare our results with other data. However, there are two papers [13, 14] in which the coefficient $B_{12}$ was determined from compressibility data over a wide temperature range ( $300-500^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) at low pressures. Table 5 shows that our values of $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ are in rather good agreement with those in [13], but differ widely from the values in [14].
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OPTICOACOUSTIC DETERMINATION OF THERMOPHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS
S. A. Vinokurov

UDC [535/534:53.082] + 536.21

The possibilities of utilizing two-layered systems of standard and studied specimens to determine thermophysical characteristics under opticoacoustic experimental conditions are theoretically analyzed.

The opticoacoustic method of investigating solid and liquid media is based on the effect of pressure vibrations originating under the illumination of a specimen in an insulated gasfilled chamber by modulated radiation.

The magnitude and phase shift of the acoustic signal under specific conditions are related uniquely to the magnitude and phase shift of the temperature fluctuations on the specimen surface [1]. This permits utilization of the opticoacoustic method to determine thermophysical characteristics [2-6].

The thermal diffusivity coefficient is determined by exposing one surface of a plane opaque specimen and recording the magnitude or phase of the acoustic signal from the side of its second surface [2-5]. A fine strongly absorbing layer is deposited on the surface being exposed on transparent specimens. The specimen thickness should be within the limits $1.5 \mu \leqslant$ $l \leqslant(3-3.5) \mu$, where $\mu=(2 \alpha / \omega)^{1 / 2}$ is the thermal diffusion length.

If $Z>1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, a thermal activity coefficient ( $\lambda c \rho)^{1 / 2}$ can be found for opaque specimens from a comparison of acoustic signals during alternate exposure of the specimen being studied and the standard [6]. But the absorption by the exposed surfaces of the specimen and standard in this case should be or is known to be the same or determined in advance by some method. In addition, the methods mentioned do not permit execution of measurements on thin specimens whose thickness is $<1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the whole frequency band utilized.

The opticoacoustic determination of thermophysical characteristics is substantially a modification of the method of plane temperature waves. For the complex determination of thermophysical characteristics in such a method, it is convenient to perform the measurements on two-layered systems consisting of test and standard specimens [7].

It is expedient to use the two-layered system also in the opticoacoustic modification of the method. For opticoacoustic measurements the two-layered system moreover permits studying materials with other optical properties since the radiation can always be directed from the side of the opaque standard. In this connection, the utilization of two-layered systems consisting of test and standard specimens to determine thermophysical characteristics under the conditions of an opticoacoustic experiment is examined in this paper for measurements from both the exposed, as well as the opposite surface.

The one-dimensional model is shown in Fig. 1. Two plane specimens of thickness $\tau_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are in ideal thermal contact and communicate with external surfaces with two volumes of depths $l_{g}$ and $l_{b}$. Sinusoidally modulated radiation is directed at specimen surface 2 for $x=-\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)$. The radiation absorbed by the surface is converted into heat. This is equivalent to the action of a heat source of the form $I_{0}[1+\exp (j \omega t)]$ on the surface.

For measurements from the specimen 2 side the volume before it should be filled with a nonabsorbing gas. When measurements are carried out from specimen 1 the volume behind it can be filled with any gas while the medium in front of specimen 2 should be transparent.

As in [1], we consider the thermal flux and temperature are continuous on the boundaries between the specimens and between each specimen and the corresponding medium, i.e., heat transfer occurs exclusively by heat conduction. Since the temperature rise in such experi-
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